



APRB

AF/2675
IJE

Docket No.: P-0216

PATENT

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE BOARD OF APPEALS AND INTERFERENCE**

In re Application of

Confirmation No.: 6826

Sun Jin PARK

Group Art Unit: 2675

Serial No.: 09/853,668

Examiner: Chanh Nguyen

Filed: May 14, 2001

Customer No.: 34610

For: METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR ADJUSTING A BRIGHTNESS OF A
DISPLAY SCREEN

PLEASE ENTER:

CON 03/28/05

REPLY BRIEF

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
220 20th St. S.
Customer Window, Mail Stop Appeal Brief-Patents
Crystal Plaza Two, Lobby, Room 1B03
Arlington, VA 22202

Sir:

In response to the Examiner's Answer dated November 2, 2004, Appellant provides the following comments:

At page 2, point (7) of the Examiner's Answer, the Examiner states that "[t]he rejection of claims 14-18, 24-28, 32-37, 43-47, 55-56 and 59-62 stand or fall together because Appellant's brief does not include a statement that this grouping of claims does not stand or fall together and reasons in support thereof." However, at pages 4-5 of the Appeal Brief, Appellants provide groupings of the claims. Further, at pages 7-12 of the Appeal Brief, Appellants provide arguments in support of the particular groupings in the response to the rejections thereof.